

<u>US LHC Accelerator Research Program</u> <u>brookhaven - fermilab - berkeley</u>

Hadron Collider Commissioning Workshop

Fulvia Pilat

LARP Collaboration Meeting Danfords, September 16-18, 2003



commissioning workshop

INTRODUCTION

- ➤ The proposal: a hadron collider commissioning workshop to held ~ late summer 2004 (Bruning, Pilat)
- ➤ Motivation, goals, topics
- > Participation
- Organization

DISCUSSION

- > Suggestions, input on topics, etc.
- ➤ Role of LARP



goal

Collect the commissioning experience of existing hadron collider (HERA, RHIC, Tevatron) to optimize planning for the commissioning of the next generation of large accelerators (first and foremost: LHC, with special sessions and/or talks on SNS, Linear Colliders, etc. to exploit synergies)

- ➤ Last chance to collect **HERA** experience filtered for our needs and to propose MD's before HERA is switched off
- Good time to collect RHIC commissioning experience before institutional memory faints, and to <u>propose beam experiments</u> in RHIC before LHC start-up
- Collect and document studies from the **Tevatron** re-commissioning on topics very relevant to LHC commissioning, <u>proposal of machine</u> <u>studies</u>



Topics - 1

- > Strategy and main obstacles for commissioning in HERA RHIC and Tevatron: what was planned, what worked as planned and what did not. How did the commissioning experience differ from the plans?
- ➤ What magnet/alignment measurement proved most critical in the commissioning of the machine. If magnet measurement needs to be limited, how do we pick the essential ones?
- What subset of instrumentation needs to available day one? What minimum level of performance are necessary?
- ➤ Can we assess in advance prediction on machine performance and reliability during the first 2 years of commissioning? Can we model or predict performance and uptime based on experience and data of our systems?
- What MD and beam experiments can be planned at existing machines to help commissioning planning?
- ➤ How can we deal with **unplanned events**, crises (that always happen). Can we list possibilities and <u>plan remedial actions</u>?



Topics - 2

- What is the optimal "sociological model" in commissioning? (operators, system specialists, physicists...)
- How can members of other institutions be effective and useful during commissioning?
- Can remote operations and access be effectively integrated in the commissioning effort?



Participation

- CERN, HERA, TeV, RHIC personnel
- ➤ Representation SNS commissioning in ~2005
- Linear Colliders (positive experience at the HALO'03 workshop)
- Selected invited speakers from other recently (re)commissioned accelerators



Organization

June-October 2003 collect ideas, get feedback from individuals

and institutions

November 3-4 2003 draft announcement

propose advisory committee

propose program committee

define organizational deadlines

~September 2004 target date for workshop

(4-5 days, ~50-60 attendees)